

**No. ID/AMB/26-83/30932.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Surjit Singh and the management of M/s. Deputy Director(N) Ground Water Directorate Haryana State Minor Irrigation Tub-well Corporation Ltd., Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of Service of Shri Surjit Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/YMN/39-83/30938.**—Whether the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Karam Singh and the Management of M/s Executive Engineer, Sub-Urban (Operation) Division Haryana State Electricity Board Jagadhri Near Waryam Singh Hospital, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Karam Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/HSR/20-83/30962.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Dharam Paul and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways Hissar regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in disputes or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dharam Paul was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/AMB/24-83/30968.**—whether the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mohinder Singh and the Management of M/s Executive Engineer Op. Division Haryana State Electricity Board Kaithal regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And Whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated, 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1960 section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mohinder Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/HSR/16-83/30974.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of opinion that an Industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Dilbag Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways Sirsa regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak

constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—*vide* Government notification No.3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in disputes or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dilbag Singh was justified and in order If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RSR/32-83/31019.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hukam Chand and the management of M/s Haryana Warehousing Corporation Sector 17 Chandigarh regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Hukam Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/RSK/29-83/31025.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that in Industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri, Shyam Sunder and the managment of M/s (i) The Haryana Dairy Development Co-operative Federation Ltd, S.C.O. 127-28 Sector 17-C Chandigarh. (ii) The Haryana Dairy Development Co-operative Federation Ltd, Milk Plant Bhiwani regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in disputes or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shyam Sunder was justified and in order ? If no t, to what relief is he entitled?

The 4th July, 1983

No. ID/FD/194-83/31687— Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Pawan Kumar Paul and the management of M/s Sahara Deposit Investment India Ltd. Near Kotwali, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Pawan Kumar Paul was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/97-83/31694.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute existe between the workman Shri Babu Lal and the management of M/s Narula Enterprises, Gurukul Sarai (Inder Prasth) Faridabad. regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958; read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter releevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Babu Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?